The scale of sympatry in the genus *Oliva* (Gastropoda, Olividae) (°)

Bernard TURSCH

Laboratoire de Bio-Ecologie, Faculté des Sciences,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, 50 av. F.D.Roosevelt, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium.

KEYWORDS. Sympatry, syntopy, variation, taxonomy, Mollusca, Gastropoda, *Oliva*.

ABSTRACT. Sharp morphological discontinuities have been evidenced between conspecific, close neighbouring *Oliva* populations in Hansa Bay (Papua New Guinea). Taxonomic implications are discussed. The broad notion of sympatry usually applied in the genus *Oliva* should be replaced by that of syntopy.

RESUME. De nettes discontinuités morphologiques ont été mises en évidence entre des populations conspécifiques très voisines d'*Oliva* à Hansa Bay (Papouasie- Nouvelle-Guinée). Les implications taxonomiques sont discutées. La notion large de sympatrie habituellement appliquée au genre *Oliva* devrait être remplacée par celle de syntopie.

1. INTRODUCTION

Much more effort has been invested on the nomenclature of *Oliva* species than on their natural history. The taxonomy of this genus (like that of the immense majority of marine molluscs) is therefore still at the stage of the morphospecies approach, based upon the demonstration of gaps in the distribution of shell characters. This method is obviously open to errors. Some are inherent to the method itself (amongst others, it is likely to overlook sibling species). Other errors can result from the quality of data (these vary from the statement of personal impressions to multivariate analysis, depending on the requirements of authors). I wish to report and discuss here some data which underline another, frequently overlooked, possible source of error: unwarranted assumptions on sympatry.

Data on sympatry are indeed crucial because they largely determine the reasoning of the morphospecies taxonomist. On the one hand, if two sympatric populations are separated by a constant morphological gap, one can infer that interbreeding does not take place and that one deals with two distinct species. In the absence of potentially misleading factors (such as sexual dimorphism, for instance) this procedure is quite straightforward. On the other hand, one can reasonably combine allopatric, morphologically distinct populations into one species if one establishes that their discriminating characters are bridged by other populations, forming one *morphological continuum*. In this case, one cannot exclude potential interbreeding. Decisions on allopatric populations are of course more delicate (see MAYR & ASHLOCK, 1991) and their reliability will depend very much on the quality of the data.

Suspicion of sympatry-related errors arose during a study on the "*Oliva oliva* complex" (TURSCH *et al.*, 1992). The existence of separate species was detected at the local level by the observation of total morphological gaps between sympatric populations. On a global scale, the populations of each species form a morphological continuum. As one could expect, extreme forms of the same species (sometimes separated by hundreds or thousands of miles) often differ to the point of complete morphological separation. But these differences were always bridged in intermediate, allopatric populations. In contrast, each conspecific continuum is separated from the others by demonstrable gaps reflecting the boundaries of intraspecific variability.

Although the overall picture was quite satisfactory, unexpected facts were observed in two localities (MISSA, 1991; TURSCH *et al.*, 1992). In Phuket (Thailand) one finds two morphs that are locally entirely separable, while being obviously bridged by series of allopatric intergrades. An entirely similar situation occurs in Carita Beach (Java). These observations were rather disquieting, all the more so because similar situations were soon detected in several other

(°) This is paper 21 in the series *Studies on Olividae* and Laing Island Biological Station contribution n° 286.

Oliva species, as will be seen here below. On the one hand, membership of the same morphological continuum is a very strong argument for conspecificity. On the other hand, sympatric forms separated by an absolute morphological gap just have to be distinct species, at least in groups such as *Oliva* where sexual dimorphism and allometry are absent or negligible.

This apparent contradiction could be explained by invoking circular overlap, a situation in which the extremities of a chain of interbreeding local races come into contact and where the extreme forms, now sympatric, cannot anymore interbreed. A much simpler explanation would be that there is something wrong in the way we usually apply the concept of sympatry to *Oliva* (and possibly many other marine molluscs).

Sympatry has been conceived broadly to include "*populations the individuals of which are within cruising range of each other during the breeding season, even though the habitats in which they occur do not overlap in space*" (CAIN, 1953; MAYR, 1963; MAYR & ASHLOCK, 1991). We know very little on cruising ranges, yet the common practice of marine mollusc taxonomy is to consider as sympatric two populations separated by a few miles, living in the same depth range and on the same type of sediment.

The hypothesis of a possible error in our application of the concept of sympatry to the genus *Oliva* could be tested by studying intraspecific variation over very short distances. A suitable location for such a study was provided by Hansa Bay, on the North coast of Papua New Guinea. It is a small semicircular bay (roughly 10 km in diameter) located in Madang Province, near the mouth of the Ramu River, about 110 nautical miles West of Madang. A rough sketch of Hansa Bay is given in Fig. 1. Laing Island (4°10'30"S-144°52'20"E) lying roughly at the middle of the bay is a raised coral reef, covered with vegetation and separated from the mainland by depths of 45-50 m, with a muddy bottom. Climatic and hydrological data are given by BOUILLON *et al.* (1986). Detailed environmental data can be found in CLAEREBOUDT (1989). The sediments in which *Oliva* are found in Hansa Bay have been analysed by VAN OSSELAER (1992) (see VAN OSSELAER *et al.*, 1994). All the coast is lined with a long, black sand beach, with the exception of a small stretch at Boro Beach, formed of white, coarse coral sand.

The *Oliva* species of Hansa Bay have been under survey for nearly 20 years, since the establishment of King Leopold III Biological Station on Laing Island in 1974. 29 species have been found so far and nearly all of them have been collected in adequate numbers, with accurate locality data. Many of these species have distinct micropopulations, separated by short distances. The differences observed between these populations concern not only the variations of colour pattern observed in cryptic, polytopic species (VAN OSSELAER *et al.*, 1994) but also, as will be shown here, the morphology of the shell. In order to avoid repetition, only three cases (ranging from a rather subtle discontinuity to a large, obvious morphological gap) will be described here, although many additional examples could be given.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Collection

Oliva specimens have been obtained mostly by SCUBA diving (day and night dives) but a variety of other methods have also been used. These include dredging (using a small rectangular steel mesh dredge with an opening of 60 x 22 cm), trawling (with a small mesh 3 m rigid frame trawl), snorkelling in shallow waters, or beach collecting at the turn of the low tide. Baiting and trapping have often been used. Quantitative quadrats and transects have also been effected (VAN OSSELAER, 1992). When diving, small rigid steel mesh "hand dredges" (in the shape of a dustpan, about 20 x 30 cm) have been especially productive. Special care has always been taken to avoid overcollecting. Specimens of all 29 *Oliva* species present in Hansa Bay have been kept and observed in aquaria, sometimes for several months.

2.2. Nomenclature

Oliva amethystina (Röding, 1798) was formerly part of *O. annulata* (Gmelin, 1791), a *nomen dubium* encompassing at least two distinct species (TURSCH *et al.*, 1986). The nomenclatural puzzle of the "*O. oliva* complex" is not yet completely solved (see TURSCH *et al.*, 1992), so for the time being, the name *O. smithi* Bridgmann, 1906 will be used for one of the species discussed here. This name is probably a junior synonym but has been selected because it is based upon indisputable type material.



Fig. 1. Hansa Bay.

2.3. Material measured

BT-numbers refer to specimens in the author's collection, DG- numbers to the collection of Dr. Dietmar Greifeneder (Schwenningen) and JS- numbers to that of Dr. Jacques Senders (Brussels).

Oliva amethystina (Röding, 1798).

PAPUA NEW GUINEA, HANSA BAY: - DURANGIT REEF, 6m: specimens BT-7002, BT-7003, BT-7004, BT-7006 to BT-7001; - "DAVIT'S WRECK" (near Awar river), 6 m: specimens BT-6779 to BT-6786; - "MAST WRECK" (near Awar river), 5 m: specimens BT-7178 to BT-7182.

OTHER LOCALITIES: SOLOMON IS. : specimens BT-2454, BT-3512, BT-3516, DG-2521/5, DG-2521/8, DG-2523/6, DG-2524/3.

Oliva smithi Bridgmann, 1906.

PAPUA NEW GUINEA, HANSA BAY: - LAING ISLAND West coast (lagoon), 0.5-1 m: specimens BT-2174 to BT-2183. - OFF BORO BEACH, 6-8 m: specimens BT-2164 to BT-2173.

OTHER LOCALITIES: AUSTRALIA (North Queensland): specimens BT-5767, BT-5807, BT-5808, BT-6122 to BT-6126, BT-6128, BT-6130; INDONESIA (Ceram I.): specimens BT-167, BT-169, BT-296, BT-298; PHILIPPINES (Cebu): specimens BT-1312, BT-4999 to BT-5003, BT-5789, BT-5791 to BT-5793; PHILIPPINES (Pamilacan): specimens BT-6277 to BT-6279, BT-6281, BT-6284.

Oliva oliva (Linnaeus, 1758).

PAPUA NEW GUINEA, HANSA BAY: - BORO BEACH, low tide: specimens BT-2154 to BT-2163 (all of very light ground colour, hereunder designated as "white"). - SISIMANGUM BEACH, low tide: specimens BT-2154 to 2158 (all of very light ground colour, hereunder designated as "white") and specimens BT-2149 to BT-2153 (all of very dark ground colour, hereunder designated as "black").

OTHER LOCALITIES: PAPUA NEW GUINEA (Samarai, Milne Bay): specimens BT-5245 to BT-5247, BT-5251, BT-5254, BT-5259, BT-5263, BT-5269, BT-5272, BT-5273; PHILIPPINES (Zamboanga): specimens BT-4589 to BT-4593, BT-5700, BT-5779 to BT-5784; THAILAND (Patong Beach, Phuket): specimens BT-4768, BT-6142, BT-6149, BT-6154, JS-035, JS-037, JS-040, JS-176, JS-186.

In addition to the measured material, several hundred Hansa Bay specimens of the most common populations of these species have been visually checked, in order to verify the constancy of the discriminating characters described below.

2.4. Tests of conspecificity.

Special care was taken to verify that the populations presented here as conspecific do really belong to the same species. All are common, widely distributed *Oliva* species for which abundant comparison material is available. In each case it was established (by principal factor analysis and/or discriminant factor analysis) that these populations are parts of a much larger morphological continuum, covering the whole distribution area of the species. Within a species continuum, no population (or group of populations) could be distinguished from all the others by any of the many metric shell variables that were tested (alone or in combination). Examples of the applications of these methods to *Oliva* have already been reported (for instance in TURSCH *et al.*, 1992) and, for the sake of brevity, details of these lengthy procedures will not be reported here. For every case, it was demonstrated (by scatter diagrams) that the morphological gaps distinguishing the Hansa Bay populations are bridged in other, allopatric populations. For each species, only one scatter diagram will be illustrated here. One should note that the "bridging populations" do not necessarily occur in Hansa Bay.

2.5. Measurements

The following measurements have been used in this paper: H, L, D (Fig. 2) and R (Fig. 3) are teleoconch measurements defined in TURSCH & GERMAIN (1985). Pat15, pat16, pat17 and pat18 (Fig. 4) are protoconch measurements defined in TURSCH & GERMAIN (1987).



Fig. 2. Sketch of the measurements H, D and L.



Fig. 3. Sketch of the measurement R.



Fig. 4. Sketch of the protoconch measurements pat15, pat16, pat17 and pat18.



Plate 1.

Figs. 1-2: *Oliva amethystina* (Röding, 1798).
Fig. 1. Typical specimen (BT-6781) from "Davit's wreck", 6 m.
Fig. 2. Typical specimen (BT-7009) from Durangit Reef, 6 m.

Figs. 3-4: *Oliva smithi* Bridgman, 1906.
Fig. 3. Typical specimen (BT-7107) from Laing I. lagoon, 0.5-1 m.
Fig. 4. Typical specimen (BT-6543) from Boro Beach, 6-8 m.

Figs. 5-6: Protoconchs of *Oliva smithi.* Note the difference in convexity of the penultimate protoconch whorl (arrows) and the fusion of the two last protoconch whorls in specimen BT-7107 (fig. 5).
Fig. 5. Protoconch of specimen BT-6225 from Laing I. lagoon, 0.5-1 m.
Fig. 6. Protoconch of specimen BT-6543 from Boro Beach, 6-8 m.

Figs. 7-8: *Oliva oliva* (Linnaeus, 1758).
Fig. 7. Typical specimen (BT-7022) from Sisimangum Beach, low tide.
Fig. 8. Typical specimen (BT-6573) from Boro Beach, low tide.

3. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

3.1. *Oliva amethystina* (Röding, 1798).

In Hansa Bay, this common species lives mostly between -1 and -10 m, exclusively in coral sand, in proximity to live coral (where it is easily mistaken for a dead *Acropora* coral fragment). Two populations (Plate 1, figs. 1-2) have been compared: one living on the top of Durangit Reef and another living around "Davit's wreck", two localities distant of only 2.8 km and separated by a bottom of very fine dark mud, in 40-48 m, extending over about 1 kmMany specimens have been collected at -6 m, in coarse, white coral sand, on the top of the large Durangit Reef. This biotope is often exposed to heavy water motion (high waves and swift current), so *Oliva* tracks are immediately erased and specimens have to be collected with a small hand dredge. Adult specimens are mostly found around the rocky ledges of the sand pockets, while juveniles are mostly found in the sand ripples. The population of *O. amethystina* at the top of Durangit Reef is very similar to (and could not be separated from) that of the Laing Island reef (excepted that the percentage of large, adult shells is much higher at Durangit).

A much smaller number of specimens were collected at -6 m, around a Japanese wreck called "Davit's wreck" because its davits could be seen above water until a few years ago. The specimens lived in a mixture of fine and coarse coral sand(including dark terrigeneous material). In this biotope where the water is mostly quiet, all our juvenile *amethystina* were found (by hand dredging) only in the white coral sand recently deposited just along the hull, whereas some adults were found by their tracks in the darker sand further away from the wreck. The wreck is quite small and we have been careful not to overcollect this little biotope. The shells are quite characteristic and no matching specimens have been found in or around Hansa Bay despite extensive exploration over 20 years, with the exception of a few rather similar specimens found around "Mast wreck", another sunken ship lying close to "Davit's wreck". The two wrecks are separated by about 200 m of hard, fine dark sediment, in 5-7 m depth.

All available specimens (8) from "Davit's wreck" were compared to an equivalent number of randomly selected specimens from Durangit. The two samples differ by protoconch as well as by teleoconch characters and are completely separable, as demonstrated (Fig. 5) by a scatter diagram of pat17/pat18 *vs.* D/L (pat17/pat18 roughly reflects the conical angle of the penultimate protoconch whorl). All specimens collected at Durangit are squat, heavy, with a tendency to bulge on the body whorl (Plate 1, fig. 2); all have a light coloured background. All specimens from "Davit's wreck" are more slender, have a darker background (Plate 1, fig. 1) and the surface of their protoconch is mostly corroded. This is also the case for the "Mast wreck" specimens. Fig.5 also shows that the morphological gap separating the Durangit Reef and the "Davit's wreck" populations is bridged in other, allopatric populations of the same species.



Fig. 5. Comparison of populations of *Oliva amethystina*. Scatter diagram of D/L *vs.* pat17/pat18. Minimum convex polygons. The populations from Hansa Bay (thick contour lines - black circles: shells from Durangit Reef - black squares: shells from "Davit's wreck") are separated by an obvious moprphological gap. This gap is bridged by other conspecific populations (thin contour lines - white circles: shells from "Mast wreck" - white squares: shells from Solomon Is.).

It should be noted that "Davit's wreck" was sunk during World War II. Like the other wrecks in the bay, it is now overgrown with a luxuriant reef, the decay of which surrounds the hull with coral sand, the exclusive habitat of *O. amethystina*. This small pocket of white coral sand is isolated in a plain of fine black sediment. If one estimates the time required for the

growth and the subsequent decay of the coral it is unlikely that the "Davit's wreck" population of *O. amethystina* is more than 30 years old.

3.2. *Oliva smithi* Bridgman, 1906.

Two distinct populations of this common species are found in Hansa Bay (Plate 1, figs. 3-4). One is widely spread along the coast, in depths of 2-14 m (generally 5-9 m), on sediments ranging from fine, dark terrigeneous material (off Awar, in usually quiet waters) to fine, white coral sand (off Boro Beach, where the sediment is in nearly constant motion, due to heavy swell). The colour pattern of the shells is very variable, mostly matching the colour of the sediment.

The other population has a very restricted distribution in the lagoon of Laing Island, in shallow water (0.5-1 m), coarse coral sand, occasional moderate wave action, and is quite constant in colour pattern. It is a recent (maybe accidental) introduction, not found before 1992 although the lagoon has been the object of regular, intensive search for 20 years. Furthermore, the lagoon population happens to live in the place where our native co-workers (all experienced *Oliva* watchers) daily wash their dishes, and it is unlikely that the shells would have escaped their trained eye for very long. The lagoon beach appears quite uniform, but during a thorough search in early 1993, *O. smithi* was found only on a stretch of about 50 meters. Only a few shells were gathered, in order not to upset this small population.

A sample of *O. smithi* from Laing Island lagoon was compared to a sample from off Boro Beach. These localities are roughly 3.8 km apart and are separated by a bottom of very fine dark mud, at 40-48 m, extending over about 1.5 km. The two samples are completely separated (Fig. 6) on a scatter diagram of D/L *vs.* pat15/pat16 (pat15/pat16 is an expression of the convexity of the penultimate nuclear whorl). Specimens from Laing Island reach a larger size than the Boro Beach shells (H_{max} 22.8 mm *vs.* 15.8 mm) and their body whorl is less cylindrical (see Plate 1, figs. 3-4). The protoconchs of the two samples, albeit similar, show constant differences (see Plate 1, figs. 5-6). In the Laing Island specimens, the two last whorls of the protoconch are fused (the suture is covered by a thin, transparent layer of enamel) and the profile of the penultimate nuclear whorl is quite flat. In the Boro Beach specimens, the two last whorls of the protoconch are distinct and the profile of the penultimate nuclear whorl is convex. Fig.6 also shows that the morphological gap separating the Laing Island and the Boro populations is bridged in other, allopatric populations of the same species.

3.3. *Oliva oliva* (Linnaeus, 1758).

In Hansa Bay, this abundant species is restricted to the low water level on sandy beaches exposed to frequent surf. It is polytopic and cryptic (VAN OSSELAER *et al.*, 1994), the background colour of the shells ranging from very pale to very dark (hereafter called "white" and "black" shells).

One small population lives on white, coarse coral sand at Boro Beach, where heavy swell is generally prevalent. Specimens from Boro (see Plate 1, fig. 8) reach a moderate size (H_{max} 33.15 mm). All 42 specimens collected are "white" and have an elongated spire. The aperture is short and consistently reddish brown. The protoconch of all specimens is severely eroded.

Another population (this one very large) extends all the way from the northern tip of the bay to the mouth of the Sakula river, on fine, black volcanic sand, with occasional, moderate swell. Specimens from Sisimangum (see Plate 1, fig. 7) reach a larger size (H_{max} 42.31 mm). On nearly one thousand specimens observed, 76% are "black", 15 % are "white" and 9 % do not fit into these categories. The spire is short, the aperture long and mostly dark purple. Most specimens have an intact protoconch.

A sample of 10 specimens (all "white") from Boro Beach was compared to a sample of 10 specimens (5 "white", 5 "black", all protoconchs intact) from Sisimangum. These localities are distant of roughly 12 km along the coast but the Sisimangum population reaches the Sakula river and the two populations actually come within 1.5 km of each other. The only physical obstacles separating these populations are a small rocky point between Boro and Kalagima and the mouth of the Sakula River. The two samples are entirely distinct, separated by a large morphological gap, as shown (Fig. 7) on a scatter diagram of H/L *vs.* R/D. Within the Sisimangum sample, "white" and "black" specimens are not separated; shell morphology seems unrelated to colour. Fig. 7 also shows that the morphological gap separating the Sisimangum Beach and the Boro Beach populations is bridged in other, allopatric populations of the same species.



Fig. 6. Comparison of populations of *Oliva smithi*. Scatter diagram of D/L *vs.* pat15/pat16. Minimum convex polygons.

The populations from Hansa Bay (thick contour lines - black circles: shells from Boro - black squares: shells from Laing Island lagoon) are separated by an obvious moprphological gap. This gap is bridged by other conspecific populations (thin contour lines - white circles: shells from Australia, North Queensland - white squares: shells from Philippines, Cebu - white circles, centered: shells from Indonesia, Ceram - white lozenges: shells from Philippines, Pamilacan).



Fig. 7. Comparison of populations of *Oliva oliva*. Scatter diagram of D/L *vs.* pat15/pat16. Minimum convex polygons.

The populations from Hansa Bay (thick contour lines - black circles: shells from Boro Beach - black squares: black shells from Sisimangum Beach - thick white squares: white shells from Sisimangum Beach) are separated by an obvious moprphological gap. This gap is bridged by other conspecific populations (thin contour lines - white circles: shells from Philippines, Zamboanga - white squares: shells from Papua New Guinea, Samarai - white lozenges: shells from Thailand, Phuket).

It should be noted that the characters of these two populations have been stable for 20 years (judging from specimens in our collection) and probably for much longer a time, judging from specimens in ancient leg ornaments, still used in traditional *sing-sing* ceremonies by the local Dawar people.

4. INTERPRETATION

In short, it has been shown that:

a. Close neighbouring populations of the same *Oliva* species often present notable differences. These differences reach complete morphological separation in the examples presented here, but it should be stressed that this point is not essential. Some overlap in the distribution of population characters would not affect the conclusions here under. Many *Oliva* variants exhibit a remarkable fidelity to their locality, to the point that an experienced collector can often guess the precise origin of a given specimen.

b. The observed divergence of micropopulation characters can occur fast. It can be estimated to less than 30 years for *O. amethystina* (see section 3.1, last §) and to probably a few years for *O. smithi* (see section 3.2, § 2). In contrast, all the established populations regularly sampled for a long time have been stable in their characters at least over a period of 20 years and probably for much longer (see section 3.3, last §).

The observed differences in shell morphology could stem both from phenotypic plasticity and genetic isolation. On the one hand, environmental effects are probably an important contributor to colour variation, as illustrated by the fact that 24 of the 29 *Oliva* species encountered in Hansa Bay are cryptic to some degree, a phenomenon suggesting intense pressure from visual predator(s) (this is discussed in VAN OSSELAER *et al.*, 1994). Considerable predation has indeed been demonstrated in the case of *O. oliva,* where nearly every specimen of the Sisimangum population bears the scars of at least one unsuccessful attack (*study in progress*). No data for linking *Oliva* shell morphology to environmental effects are yet available.

On the other hand, genetic isolation is strongly suggested by the frequent observation of differences in the protoconch (*e.g.* in the case of *O. smithi*). The protoconch of *Oliva* species is produced inside the egg capsule (OLSSON & CROVO, 1968; TURSCH, 1991), where it is shielded against direct environmental influences. Morphological gaps at the protoconch level are thus likely to be of genetic origin. Another, indirect argument stems from the very rapid changes observed for the small population of *O. smithi* in the lagoon of Laing Island. Such a situation immediately evokes genetic drift, a phenomenon requiring genetic isolation.

One more indirect argument can be found in the morphological stability observed in established *Oliva* populations. This stability sharply contrasts with the rapid changes occurring in the topography of the shallow water biotopes of Hansa Bay. During the last 20 years, the beach at Sisimangum has diminished by some 100 m, the East coast and the northern tip of Laing Island have also considerably regressed, while the beach in the southern part of the lagoon has extended. According to the oral tradition of the local Dawar tribesmen, Laing Island was actually linked to the mainland at the time of their ancestors. Elderly people all say that in their youth Laing island had at least the double of its present size. In addition, sporadic eruptions of the nearby Manam volcano frequently pepper the whole area with large quantities of igneous material. The modifications of the shoreline produce important changes in physical conditions (slope, exposure to waves, etc.), to the point that some of our boat moorings had to be moved over the years. So the morphological stability of most populations shows that many of the discriminant characters used by the *Oliva* taxonomist do not reflect subtle environmental differences but most probably partial genetic isolation.

All the available data thus indicate that there appears to be severe restrictions on gene flow between many of the Hansa Bay conspecific *Oliva* populations. Each of these populations could be considered as a *topogamodeme,* this is a relatively isolated, naturally interbreeding, population (gamodeme) occupying a particular area (LINCOLN *et al.*, 1982).

5. COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

Although I have no simple solution to offer, it might be worth discussing the mechanism(s) that could explain the partial genetic isolation of the close neighbouring Hansa Bay *Oliva* populations. Interpopulation gene flow depends upon dispersal and this could take place either at the larval or at the adult stage.

Nothing is known about the vagility of *Oliva* larvae, but the present observations

suggest that it must generally be low. Indeed, the larval stage lasts several days (OLSSON & CROVO, 1968; TURSCH, 1991) and the seasonally variable currents could easily transport pelagic veligers anywhere in Hansa Bay within hours. It has been shown that the veliger of *Olivella verrauxii* is not pelagic but swims on the bottom (MARCUS & MARCUS, 1959). I could find no report of *Oliva* larvae being caught in plankton hauls. Even if the larvae were effectively dispersed, successful immigration could still be severely restricted by the necessity of settling on a suitable substrate. Effective larval dispersal over large distances certainly does take place, as evidenced by the very large distribution of several *Oliva* species, but it must be quite infrequent.

The *Oliva* populations of Hansa Bay are so closely spaced that immigration could possibly also occur at the adult stage. Very little is known about the actual cruising range of adult *Oliva* species. They can live several years and do easily reach speeds of 25 cm/min (TURSCH, 1991). Tracks several meters long are frequently observed and an *Oliva* could conceivably travel many kilometers in its lifetime. One could even imagine that adult *Oliva* could cross small stretches of moderate depths: they have no pressure-sensitive organ and they could certainly tolerate reduced light (being mainly nocturnal, see VAN OSSELAER & TURSCH, 1993). In addition, they can easily subsist on a wide variety of foods that are completely foreign to them.

In theory, *O. oliva* could thus travel from Boro to the black Sisimangum beach in a matter of days or weeks. But no specimen of the "Boro type" has ever been collected from Kalagima to Awar. Conversely, no specimen of the "Sisimangum type" has ever been caught at Boro Beach. It is doubtful that the mouth of the Sakula river could constitute a serious barrier: it is only about 10-20 m wide and is completely closed by a sand bar at low tide during the dry season. The similar (but smaller) Awar river does not divide the Sisimangum population. It is more likely that the obstacle is constituted by the small rocky point separating Boro from Kalagima, where the soft substrate that all olives require is found at 5-6 m, an environment possibly unsuitable for a species restricted to surf beaches. This same rocky point is no obstacle for *O. smithi* that often lives in deeper water. In practice, adult Olives seem rather sedentary, as shown by the lagoon population of *O. smithi* (see section 3.2, §2). One could conjecture they are prevented from moving by being very sensitive to minute changes in the nature of the substrate, but this is unlikely because in sediment choice experiments, several species of *Oliva* have shown only very slight substrate preferences (VAN OSSELAER & TURSCH, 1993). One could also imagine that the micropopulations are kept together by some cohesive force such as chemical attractants (TURSCH, 1991) but no firm data yet support this hypothesis.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The *Oliva* species of Hansa Bay consist in a mosaic of populations, the map of which fairly reflects the discontinuities of the habitat. These populations are not only ecological races; in many cases they also appear to be temporarily isolated by restricted gene flow, even over very short distances. Neighbouring, conspecific populations frequently differ to the point of complete morphological separation. That different populations could be distinguished by some combination of characters is no great discovery and was indeed fully expected (see MAYR, 1969 and FUTUYMA, 1986), even for shells that are collector's favourites. The observations at Hansa Bay just give a somewhat sharper image of the spatial scale, the extent and the tempo of intraspecific variation in the genus *Oliva*. They also point to the necessity of moderating the current taxonomic approach to this genus.

First, the scale of sympatry in *Oliva* can be much smaller than it is generally considered. The pattern observed in tiny Hansa Bay is certainly not unique and can be expected to occur over the very large distribution areas of many species. In addition to the examples given in the introduction (see TURSCH *et al.*, 1992), the populations of *Oliva oliva* I have sampled in two very similar small bays separated by only 5.8 km on the North coast of Hon Lon Island, off Nha Trang (Vietnam) can be separated at a glance. Many other similar examples could be given and it is a safe bet that morphological discontinuities between close-set populations will be commonly observed in any careful field study of *Oliva*.

Special caution is thus necessary in the application of our most reliable tool for taxonomic decisions at the morphospecies level: the demonstration of morphological gaps between sympatric populations (see Introduction). When comparing populations from a same, broad locality, data such as "New Guinea, shallow

water" or even "Hansa Bay, sand, 8 m" are now clearly insufficient to demonstrate sympatry.

We can be sure that *Oliva* populations are sympatric only when they actually overlap in space. This can be known only if specimens of both taxa have been observed together (or within a short distance) in the same, continuous microhabitat. Such a relationship could be described by the word "*syntopic*" in a slightly restricted sense. "Syntopic" has been defined as: "pertaining to populations or species that occupy the same macrohabitat, *are observable in close proximity* (italics are mine) and could thus interbreed" (LINCOLN *et al.*, 1982). Conversely, in the absence of actual spatial overlap, one could use the word "allotopic" in the somewhat restricted sense of "*not observed in close proximity*".

In the case of *Oliva* (at least) it is obviously safer to replace our broad criterion of sympatry by that of syntopy. This does not upset any fundamental concept, as it is only a reduction of spatial scale. It will actually facilitate the task of the taxonomist because differences between similar species will generally be more pronounced wherever these species come into contact (the well-known phenomenon *of character displacement*).

Without this reduction of the commonly accepted scale of sympatry, one could be led to create as many *Oliva* "species" as there are local populations within an arbitrary range of proximity. Many "species" of *Oliva* have indeed been created upon differences smaller than those observed between some of the Hansa Bay conspecific populations. This solution is unfortunately attractive to some (see the criticism of MAYR, 1969) but is demonstrably wrong. In all the cases studied so far in this laboratory and for which enough data were available (for example the protean "*Oliva oliva* complex", see TURSCH *et al.*, 1992), the set of all conspecific populations (considered over the whole distribution range) always form a morphological continuum. The characters discriminating any two given conspecific populations are invariably bridged by at least another population (or by a chain of intergrading populations). This indicates that gene flow, even if restricted, does nevertheless take place.

Some could then be tempted to consider each of the morphologically distinct local populations of *Oliva* as a subspecies in the sense of "local variety". This would lead to obvious nomenclatural excess and the notion of subspecies (largely a category of convenience) would then lose any meaning. The sensible definition of MAYR (1963) restricts subspecies to "aggregates of populations", on much larger spatial scale.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (F.N.R.S), the King Léopold III Fund for Nature Exploration and Conservation, and BIOTEC, S.A. for material support. I am much indebted to my team-mates Sid Johnson, Jean-Marc Ouin, Jean Pierret and Christian Van Osselaer, who have been superlative *Oliva* watchers as well as fine diving and dredging companions for many years. I thank Dr. Jacques Senders for the loan of comparison specimens and Dr. Dietmar Greifeneder for his usual, constructive criticism.

REFERENCES.

BOUILLON, J., M. CLAEREBOUDT & G. SEGHERS, 1986. Hydroméduses de la baie de Hansa (Papouasie Nouvelle Guinée): conditions climatiques et hydrologie. *Indo-Malayan Zoology* 3: 105-152.

CAIN, A. J., 1953. Geography, ecology and coexistence in relation to the biological definition of the species. *Evolution* 7: 76-83.

CLAEREBOUDT, M., 1989. Répartition spatiale et diversité des Scléractiniaires sur un récif corallien de Papouasie Nouvelle Guinée. Thèse de doctorat, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

FUTUYMA, D.J., 1986. Evolutionary Biology. Sinance Associates: Sunderland, Mass. 600 pp.

LINCOLN, R.J., G.A. BOXSHALL & P.F. CLARK, 1982. A Dictionary of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K.. 298 pp.

MARCUS, E. & E. MARCUS, 1959. On the reproduction of *Olivella*. *Bol. Fac. Filosofia, Ciéncias e Letras, Univ. São Paulo* 232 (Zool. 23): 189-196.

MAYR, E., 1963. Animal Species and Evolution. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, Mass. 797 pp.

MAYR, E., 1969. Principles of Systematic Zoology. McGraw Hill: New York. 428 pp.

MAYR, E. & P.D. ASHLOCK, 1991. Principles of Systematic Zoology. McGraw Hill: New York. 475 pp.

MISSA, O., 1991. La structure taxonomique de *Oliva oliva* (Mollusca, Gastropoda). Mémoire de Licence, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

OLSSON, A. A. & L.E. CROVO, 1968. Observations on aquarium specimens of *Oliva sayana* Ravenel. *The Veliger* 11(1): 31-32.

TURSCH, B., 1991. Studies on Olividae. XIII. Behaviour of *Oliva vidua* in aquarium: preliminary observations. *Apex* 6(1): 1-10.

TURSCH, B. & L. GERMAIN, 1985. Studies on Olividae. I. A morphometric approach to the *Oliva* problem. *Indo-Malayan Zoology* 331-352.

TURSCH, B.& L. GERMAIN, 1987. Studies on Olividae. V. Five additional protoconch characters for *Oliva* taxonomy. *Apex* 2: 59-68.

TURSCH, B., L. GERMAIN & D. GREIFENEDER, 1986. Studies on Olividae. IV. *Oliva annulata* Gmelin, 1791 (of authors) : a confusion of species. *Indo-Malayan Zoology* 3: 189-216.

TURSCH, B., O. MISSA & J. BOUILLON, 1992. Studies on Olividae XIV. The taxonomic structure of *Oliva oliva* (auct.). *Apex* 7(1): 3-22.

VAN OSSELAER, C., 1992. Contribution à l'étude écologique du genre *Oliva* (Mollusca, Gastropoda) à Hansa Bay (Papouasie-Nouvelle Guinée). Travail de fin d' Etudes, Université LIbre de Bruxelles. 113 pp.

VAN OSSELAER, C., J. BOUILLON, J.M. OUIN & B. TURSCH, 1994. The distribution of *Oliva* species and the variations of their colour patterns in Hansa Bay (Papua New Guinea). *Apex* 9(2/3): 27-46.

VAN OSSELAER, C. & B. TURSCH, 1993. Studies on Olividae. XVII. Data on depth of burrowing, motion and substrate choice of some *Oliva* species. *Apex* 8(4): 151-158.